

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/537,535	MCADAMS, ERIC THOMAS	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Renee Danega	3736	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Advisory

(1) Renee Danega. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Brian Ho. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

46-48

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

RAD

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 46-48 were dependant on cancelled claim 41. It was determined that claim 46 depended from 34 and 47-48 should depend from claim 31. Also, the word "also" should be struck from claim 46 to make more sense. Permission was granted for an examiner's amendment..